Leonard Lloyd., Morris
c/o 2429 Condit Ct.
Ceres, California
Postal zone: [95307]

Demandant's Private Case Number 970915-PBP

TO:

CHARLES J. STEVENS
United States Attorney
U.S. Courthouse
1130 "O" Street
Fresno, California [93721]

and:

Karen J. Kalmanir
Assistant U. S. Attorney
U.S. Courthouse
Fresno, California [93721]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. CRF-91-0212-
Plaintiff,	)	DLB
LEONARD MORRIS	)	
Defendant.	)	

Timely Private Demand for Bill of Particulars
to determine Nunc Pro Tunc
the Nature anc Cause of the Proceedings
as it applies to Me
with Affidavit in Verification

TAKE NOTICE that Leonard Lloyd., Morris, (hereinafter "Demandant"), appearing privately, specially and not generally in regard to Case Number CRF-91-0212-DLB filed in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (hereinafter referred to as "Above Case"), and demanding all His rights at all times and waiving no right at any time, does make this timely demand, nunc pro tunc, to the United States Prosecutor (hereinafter referred to as "YOU" and "YOUR") and YOUR agents and principals for a Bill of Particulars. The Demandant (hereinafter referred to as "I", "ME" or "MY" or "MINE") does not grant the use of Demandant's Christian Appellation for any purpose in the Above Case except as a means to protect Demandant's unalienable rights in the premises of the people's venue/jurisdiction of California state (organic). The Demandant makes these timely demands solely of necessity, under threat, duress, and coercion, in that Demandant has been placed in violation of Probation and has had

probation rights removed as a direct result of process issued out of the Above Case.

* This Demand is made so that the Demandant herein can determine the nature and cause of the Above Case as it applies to Demandant, if it applies at all.

* The following questions are necessary, imperative, and material in the preparation of any dilatory pleadings and pleadings in bar involving the Demandant in this matter.

* The Demandant cannot adequately prepare or conduct any on going defense or remedy to his current exigent circumstances without this information.

* Demandant will be deprived and prejudiced of Demandant's unalienable rights under Nature's law and Nature's God as recognized under Due Process clause of the Fourth and Fifth Amendments to the Constitution for the united States of America, Amended 1791 (without the legislative venue/jurisdiction); and as further recognized under the Original Constitution of California (without the legislative venue/jurisdiction).

* This Demand is to be purviewed in the in the nature of a Demand for a Bill of Particulars if the Above Case is criminal [Criminal Rule

7(f)] and a Demand for a more definite statement if the Above Case is a civil in nature [Civil Rule 12(e)], whichever is applicable.

* If this demand is not the proper procedure to determine the nature and cause of the Above Case, then Demandant hereby empowers YOU and invokes YOUR authority under the common law principle of the Brother's Keeper rule to purview this demand into the proper procedure and process as the protection of the Demandant's rights so requires.

BACKGROUND

Demandant is in receipt, but not acceptance of a SUMMONS dated September 12, 1997 and served on Demandant September 22, 1997, alleging violation of probation, as a charging instrument (hereinafter referred to as "PLEADINGS"). The PLEADINGS are filed in Case Number CRF-91-0212-DLB in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (hereinafter "COURT").

Demandant is without knowledge of the nature and cause to formulate a response to the PLEADINGS or a defense to the ongoing Above Case at this time as it applies to the Demandant. Demandant

has not entered any pleadings into the COURT in the Above Case. Until such time as YOU answer the following questions as to the nature and cause of the charges in the PLEADINGS, the Demandant can only presume to know what the nature and cause is. The Demandant does not even know if the Demandant is the party named in the charges set forth in the PLEADINGS. Demandant cannot obtain any remedy based upon mere presumptions. Presumptions do not lead to due process of law.

Demandant is under violation of probation as a direct and proximate result of the PLEADINGS in the Above Case. Because of the exigent circumstances under which the Demandant finds himself. YOU have ten (10) days to respond to the following questions. If more than ten (10) days are needed to respond, a reasonable extension will be granted YOU upon written request with a showing of reasonable facts in support as to why YOU need more time. Failure to respond within ten (10) days from receipt hereof will result in fault thereto and default being issued. A default will result in the prima facie presumption of bad faith on YOUR part and on the part of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, its agents, assigns, principals, and counsel. Default will be deemed as an exhaustion of

Demandant's administrative procedures and remedies. This will enable Demandant to seek JUDICIAL remedy for denial of due process of law and abuse of process by an original action. Any proceedings on the Above Case that are taken without first timely responding to this Demand by YOU will be deemed prima facie evidence of bad faith on YOUR part and by the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and its agents, assigns, principals, and counsel.

A non responsive answer to any question set forth herein will be deemed a non responsive answer to all questions. All questions must be answered under penalty of perjury as true, correct, and complete. Failure to certify the answers thereto in this manner will be deemed as a non response to the questions. If the person to whom this Demand for Bill of Particulars is addressed is not the proper party to receive this demand, Demandant will presume that the person to whom this is addressed will promptly notify the Demandant of the name and title of the proper person who should get this demand and/or that the person who gets this Demand will forward this demand to the proper party forthwith. The principal of "Notice to the agent is notice to the principal" applies to this Demand. This Notice also applies to any successors or assigns in the Above Case. If any

response to this demand raises new questions or new issues as to the nature and cause of the action in the Above Case, Demandant reserves the right to amend and/or continue this Demand and the questions posed herein. If this demand and request for nature and cause of the Above Case is not procedurally correct, Demandant demands that the person to whom this Demand is addressed inform the Demandant of the correct procedure to obtain this information timely prior to compelling any act or action from Demandant that would prejudice Demandant's rights set forth under Nature and Nature's Law.

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply to the questions herein.

1). The word "SUMMONS" means the SUMMONS, served on Demandant, filed in Case Number CRF-91-0212 DLB in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2). The word "PLEADINGS" means the SUMMONS AND PAPERS FILED in Case Number CRF-91-0212 DLB.

3). The word "tribunal" means the "UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA" and any of the proceedings held therein as it applies to Case Number CRF-91-0212 DLB under the style of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, plaintiff, vs LEONARD MORRIS, a/k/a LEONARD LLOYD MORRIS, Leonard

Morris, Defendant.

4). The words "case", "action", or "proceedings" means the action or proceedings brought in the tribunal by the SUMMONS in this Case Number CRF-91-0212 DLB.

5). The word "Demandant" means "Leonard Lloyd., Morris".

6). The word "Plaintiff" means "UNITED STATES" and/or "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", its counsel, agents, assigns, successors, principals, and predecessors in the action.

7). The word "Defendant" means "LEONARD LLOYD MORRIS, a/k/a LEONARD MORRIS, Leonard Morris.

8). The term "Nature and Cause"means the right to know the venue, jurisdiction, parties of interest, right of action, and cause of action upon which the action is based.

9). The term "people of California" means those people dwelling in the organic California as a Republic as set forth in the Constitution of California (without the legislative jurisdiction of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA and without the legislative jurisdiction of the UNITED STATES) who possess unalienable rights from nature's law and Nature's God, which rights are not liened or diminished by any legal impediment (such as by the bankruptcy of the United States as declared in March, 1933).

10). The term "Organic California state" means the lawful dejure state defined in the Constitution of California, without the legislative jurisdiction/venue of the Legislature of California and the Legislature of the UNITED STATES, and having the meets and bounds description belonging to California as a

lawful state under international law proclaimed by the inhabitants therein.

QUESTIONS

1. What is the true and correct Christian Appellation or proper name of the Demandant; please state the complete praenomen, nomen, and cognomen? (Use proper upper and lower case spelling)

2. What is the true and correct spelling of the Defendant's appellation in the PLEADINGS? (Use proper upper and lower case spelling as it appears in the caption of the PLEADINGS)

3. Does the Plaintiff claim that the Demandant and the Defendant are the same person?

4. If the answer to question 3 is yes, then what facts are relied upon to make that claim?

5. Does the Plaintiff claim that the Demandant is juristic (legal) or statutory person who spells Demandant's name in all capitol letters?

6. Does the Plaintiff claim that the Demandant is neither a normal person nor a lawful inhabitant of the premises of the jurisdictional venue of the Organic California state?

7. If the Plaintiff claims that the Demandant is a statutory or juristic person, what facts does the Plaintiff allege to support any assertion that the Demandant is an artificial, juristic, or statutory person?

8. Are the PLEADINGS in the case brought in the appellation of the Demandant?

9. Did the acts or actions described in the PLEADINGS occur within the boundaries of the Organic California state?

10. Are the PLEADINGS filed or pending in a court of record within the venue and jurisdictional boundaries of Organic California state?

11. Is the Case a criminal case (i.e. is there any possibility of any jail time or term of incarceration, imprisonment, and/or a criminal fine)?

12. Is the Case a civil or remedial case?

13. What is the complete name of the injured or damaged party in the Case, and state the amount of compensatory damage sought in lawful money; include damage estimates from two (2) sources, and state any amount being sought for punitive relief pursuant to the Case.

14. If either the organic united states of America or the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is alleged to be a damaged or injured party in the above Case, give the name and address of one or more citizens of the above states who were injured.

15. Is the Plaintiff in the Case in a condition of bankruptcy? If so, what authority does the Plaintiff claim as a right to sue in Plaintiff's name as a principal?

16. Is the Plaintiff in the Case the principal party of interest, or is said proceedings being brought in the Plaintiff's name as an

agent for another principal? If so, what is the name of said principal party of interest?

17. Does the Plaintiff claim that the acts and actions set forth in the PLEADINGS were committed in the premises of the legislative venue and legislative jurisdiction of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (without the premises and venue and jurisdiction of the people of Organic California state)?

18. Does the Plaintiff claim that the "EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA", as such phrase is used in the PLEADINGS, is a Federal territorial district or "Territory or other Property belonging to the United States" as defined or used in Article IV., section. 3. of the Constitution for the United States of America and not the State defined as Organic California state?

19. What facts do the plaintiff rely upon in the PLEADINGS to claim that the Defendant acted in the "EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA"?

20. Is the Case being prosecuted by a public prosecutor under the general powers of an organic civil government, unfettered by legal disabilities including bankruptcy or military oversight or control, or by a common informer or other such private prosecutor?

21. If the above Case alleges a non criminal infraction, state the constitutional and statutory provisions that allow such Federal actions in Organic California state by the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and state where the rules of quasi-criminal procedure may be found, and further state the complete names and addresses of all real parties of interest?

22. Is the tribunal a Judicial court of general jurisdiction having

judicial cognizance over the above Case by way of a judicial controversy?

23. Is the tribunal a legislative or executive court or tribunal of limited or special jurisdiction as it applies to the Above Case with an administrative controversy?

24. Is the tribunal one in which the Demandant has an unalienable right to a trial by jury in which the jury can judge both the facts and the law of the Case as in a common law venue and jurisdiction as acknowledged in the Sixth Amendment to the Constitution for the united States of America, 1791?

25. Is the tribunal one in which the Demandant has the right to a appear and defend in person and with private next friend counsel of his own choosing to help speak in His behalf without that next of friend having to be a member of a bar association as acknowledged in the Sixth Amendment to the Constitution for the united States of America, 1791?

26. Is the Above Case as it is set in the tribunal set as a proceeding in law, in equity, in admiralty, in a civilian military, or as an ecclesiastical proceeding, or as one based upon contract?

27. Is the tribunal subject to and under the military authority, jurisdiction, and venue of the President of the United States as commander and chief of the army as established in Article II, Section 2 of the Constitution for the united States of America?

28. Is the American Bar Association and the California Bar Association organized under the power and authority of the Crown of England? Do attorney's owe allegiance to the crown of England as titles of Nobility. Do attorney's have

titles nobility (i.e.,-Esquire)?

29. Is the American Bar Association and the California Bar Association operating as agents, assigns, employees, or officers of the Bank of England or any other bank, financial institution, or commercial process to collect a private debt for the creditors of the Plaintiff in bankruptcy under principals of international law as acknowledged by Congress in the Act of March 9, 1933, setting the United States citizens as enemies of the UNITED STATES or UNITED STATES OF AMERICA under international letters of Marque and Reprisals?

30. What facts are relied upon, if any, to assert that the Demandant is a citizen, state resident, juristic person, corporation, or other legal person belonging to the Plaintiff?

31. Are the charges in the Case mala in se crimes or mala. prohibita crimes?

32. Where does the Plaintiff get its legal and lawful authority to prosecute crimes in the premises of the venue and jurisdiction of the people of the Organic California state?

33. Did the alleged offense in the Case occur in a military, martial law, or admiralty venue or jurisdiction?

34. Did the alleged offense in the Case occur within a statutory (i.e. corporate State) venue or jurisdiction belonging to the Plaintiff, or within a common law (i.e. organic state) venue?

35. State all facts relied upon which put the Demandant in any venue other than one of common law?

36. Was the Demandant engaged in trade, traffic, commerce, or business for profit at the time of the alleged offense described in the Case, and if so alleged, state with particularity the description of the goods, cargo, freight, or passengers so engaged by the Demandant?

37. Was the Demandant a party to any contract, duty or obligation with the Plaintiff, and is this used as a foundation for the Case?

38. If the answer to question 37 is yes, state with particularity the nature and cause of said contract, duty, and obligation and the facts relied upon to establish said contract, duty, or obligation?

39. Does the tribunal in the above Case have power and authority to go to trial on any issues and to impose or levy fines, forfeitures, taxes, charges, court costs, or punitive damages without the Demandant's having pled to the above Case and having not granted consent?

40. Are any such fines, forfeitures, taxes, charges, court costs, or punitive damages in the above Case to be imposed in lawful money of the United States of America as defined by the original Coinage Act of 1789 and as required by Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1 of the Constitution for the united States of America?

41. Was there a charging Affidavit filed in the above Case to the tribunal by the Prosecutor?

42. If the answer to Question 41 was yes, what was the name and address of the person, Citizen, or official who signed the charging Affidavit in the above Case?

43. Is the charging Affidavit filed in the above Case based upon direct, personal, and first hand knowledge and observation or is the charging Affidavit merely upon information and belief?

44. Does the Affidavit apply to the acts and actions of the Demandant herein?

45. When and where was the charging Affidavit signed?

46. Who was the notary, clerk or other officer who administered the oath to the affiant in the signing of the jurat for the charging Affidavit?

47. When and where was the summons issued in the above Case and who was the judge or magistrate or clerk who signed said summons in the above Case?

48. When and where was the summons, with attached Affidavit, served and who was the officer who served such process on the Demandant in the above Case?

49. When and where was the INDICTMENT signed?

50. What is the name and title of the authorized prosecuting attorney who signed said INDICTMENT in the above Case?

51. Where does the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT get its legal and lawful authority and power to apply a criminal jurisdiction over the Demandant herein, when the Demandant is without the legislative venue of the UNITED STATES and within the premises of the people's venue of Organic California state (without the legislative venue/jurisdiction of the legislature of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA), without the Demandant's consent?

52. Does the tribunal and Plaintiff have authority to compel the Demandant into proceedings by way of the summons, charges, and or INDICTMENT, and to prejudice the rights of Demandant, if Demandant does not respond to the summons, charges, and or INDICTMENT without first being entitled to know the nature and cause of the proceedings?

53. What substance does the damaged party or Plaintiff have at risk in the Case?

54. Is the Internal Revenue Service an agency of the government for the united States of America?

55 If the answer to # 54 is yes, when was it delegated as such and where is it registered in the U.S. Code?

56. Does the Internal Revenue Service have delegation of authority to operate outside of the District of Columbia and its territories?

57. Is Title 26 U.S.C. positive law? If yes, when was it accepted by the Legislature and signed into law?

The foregoing demand for Bill of Particulars is not to be construed as discovery, a traverse into any issue(s), a general appearance, a waiver of any rights, or in any way a motion or joinder to the above Case or the tribunal, nor discovery to the merits of the above Case.

Failure by YOU to timely respond to the Demand for the Bill

of Particulars seasonably requested will be construed as an attempt by the Plaintiff to withhold full disclosure of the nature and cause of the accusation(s) purportedly brought in the above Case, and will make it impossible for the Demandant to meaningfully respond to, traverse to, or defend against any accusation(s) made, or process issued or caused to be issued by the Plaintiff.

Further, failure to provide a true sworn, accurate and complete Bill of Particulars forthwith shall be construed as a failure to prosecute, a request to dismiss, and constructive intent by the Prosecutor of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA to enter a nolle prosequi by tacit procuration.

Signed this _____day in the year of Our Lord, Nineteen-hundred Ninety-seven at Modest, California republic.

_____
Leonard Lloyd, Morris,
Demandant

From: Leonard Lloyd, Morris
c/o 2429 Condit Ct.
Ceres, California
Postal zone: [95307]

RE: Case Number CRF-91-0212-DLB

To: Clerk of Court, Jack L. Wagner
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
U.S. Courthouse
1130 "O" Street
Fresno, California 93721

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF PRIVATE DEMAND

Notice is hereby given that Leonard Lloyd., Morris, has caused to be served a true, correct, and complete copy of Private Demand for Bill of Particulars on United States Attorney Charles J. Stevens, U.S. Courthouse, 1130 "O" Street, Fresno, California [93721] and Assistant U.S. Attorney Karen J. Kalmanir, U.S. Courthouse, 1130 "O" Street, Fresno, California [93721] on this_____ day of September, 1997, in Case Number CRF-91-0212-DLB in the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.

Leonard Lloyd., Morris

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the original **Demand for Bill of Particulars with Affidavit of verification** has been served on this 24th day of September 1997, to the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY KAREN J. KALMANIR, PROSECUTOR, U.S. Courthouse, 1130 "O" Street, Fresno, California [93721], by mailing said Demand by first class united States Mail, Registered Mail R 459 811 566, postage prepaid. And that notice of said service was also made to the following person in his private capacity.

Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATS DISTRICT COURT
1130 "O" Street
Fresno [93721]
California

Registered Mail No. R 459 811 567

Leonard Lloyd, Morris
c/o 2429 Condit Ct.
Ceres, California
Postal zone: [95307]